

Bilinearization of Discrete Soliton Equations and Singularity Confinement

Kenichi Maruno^{a,1}, Kenji Kajiwara^b, Shinichiro Nakao^c, Masayuki Oikawa^d

^aDepartment of Earth System Science and Technology, Kyushu University, Kasuga, Fukuoka 816

^bDepartment of Electrical Engineering, Doshisha University, Tanabe, Kyoto 610-03

^cDepartment of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Ryukoku University, Seta, Otsu 520-21

^dResearch Institute for Applied Mechanics, Kyushu University, Kasuga, Fukuoka 816

Received October 1996

Abstract

Bilinear forms for some nonlinear partial difference equations(discrete soliton equations) are derived based on the results of singularity confinement. Using the bilinear forms, the N -soliton and algebraic solutions of the discrete potential mKdV equation are constructed.

Keywords:discrete soliton equation; bilinear form; Hirota's method ; singularity confinement; discrete potential mKdV equation

1 Introduction

Hirota's method is one of the most powerful methods to study soliton equations. The crucial step in the method is to find the proper dependent variable transformation (DVT) which reduces a given soliton equation to bilinear (or multilinear) forms, that is, to express the dependent variable in terms of a τ

¹E-mail: maruno@riam.kyushu-u.ac.jp.

function. Once we get the bilinear form, we are able to construct systematically particular solutions including the multisoliton solutions.

The bilinear approach is also useful for discrete soliton equations. In order to obtain the integrable discrete versions of soliton equations, Hirota discretized the bilinear forms of the soliton equations so as to preserve solution structure[1, 2]. Then finding a proper DVT, we are able to construct the nonlinear difference equation.

But when we try to find the solutions for a given nonlinear difference equation, it is difficult to find a DVT which reduces a given discrete soliton equation to bilinear (or multilinear) forms, even if we know the results of corresponding continuous case.

Recently, Ramani, Grammaticos, and Satsuma proposed to use the singularity confinement test to get proper DVT's for nonlinear ordinary difference equations, and derived those for the discrete Painlevé equations[3]. Singularity confinement (SC) was introduced as the discrete analogue of the Painlevé property for continuous systems, that is, as a criterion for integrability of discrete systems[4]. In this article we apply this method based on SC to some nonlinear partial difference equations to find the proper DVT's and the bilinear forms for them. In particular, for the discrete mKdV equation we construct the N -soliton and algebraic solutions.

2 Discrete KdV Equation

We first consider the discrete KdV equation of the form[5]

$$u_n^{m+1} - u_{n+1}^{m-1} = \frac{\epsilon}{\delta} \left(\frac{1}{u_n^m} - \frac{1}{u_{n+1}^m} \right). \quad (1)$$

We have a singularity whenever the u_n^m in the denominator happens to vanish. This has as a consequence that both u_n^{m+1} and u_{n+1}^{m-1} diverge, whereupon u_{n-1}^{m+2} vanishes again and $u_{n-1}^{m+3}, u_{n-2}^{m+3}, u_{n-2}^{m+4}$ are finite. Thus the singularity is confined. Following the SC criterion, therefore, the discrete KdV equation is integrable. Singularity pattern of this case is

$$\{u_n^m, u_n^{m+1}, u_{n-1}^{m+1}, u_{n-1}^{m+2}\} \rightarrow \{0, \infty, \infty, 0\}. \quad (2)$$

We use this information in order to express u in terms of τ functions. Let us assume that the singularity pattern is caused by the fact that a τ function τ_n^m becomes zero at (m, n) . Then, the singularity pattern suggests the transformation

$$u_n^m = \frac{\tau_n^m \tau_{n+1}^{m-2}}{\tau_n^{m-1} \tau_{n+1}^{m-1}}. \quad (3)$$

Since u_n^{m+1} and u_{n-1}^{m+1} contain τ_n^m in the denominator, and u_n^m and u_{n-1}^{m+2} contain τ_n^m in the numerator, eq.(3) is certainly consistent with the singularity pattern. Substituting eq.(3) into eq.(1) we obtain readily the trilinear form

$$\delta \tau_n^{m+1} \tau_{n+1}^{m-2} \tau_{n+2}^{m-2} - \epsilon \tau_n^{m-1} \tau_{n+1}^m \tau_{n+2}^{m-2} = \delta \tau_{n+2}^{m-3} \tau_n^m \tau_{n+1}^m - \epsilon \tau_{n+2}^{m-1} \tau_n^m \tau_{n+1}^{m-2}. \quad (4)$$

Multiplying eq.(4) by τ_{n+1}^{m-1} and manipulating the result, we obtain

$$F_n^m = F_{n+1}^{m-1}, \quad F_n^m \equiv \frac{\delta \tau_n^{m+1} \tau_{n+1}^{m-2} - \epsilon \tau_n^{m-1} \tau_{n+1}^m}{\tau_{n+1}^{m-1} \tau_n^m}. \quad (5)$$

This gives rise to $F_n^m = \alpha(m+n)$, here $\alpha(m+n)$ is an arbitrary function of $m+n$. Thus we obtain the bilinear form:

$$\delta \tau_n^{m+1} \tau_{n+1}^{m-2} - \epsilon \tau_n^{m-1} \tau_{n+1}^m - \alpha(m+n) \tau_{n+1}^{m-1} \tau_n^m = 0. \quad (6)$$

In case of $\alpha(m+n) = 1$, this bilinear form is the same as that obtained by Hirota[5]. From this we can obtain the solutions through formal perturbation procedure.

3 Discrete Toda Equation

Secondly, we consider the discrete Toda equation of the form[5]

$$I_n^{m+1} - I_n^m = V_n^m - V_{n-1}^{m+1}, \quad (7)$$

$$I_n^{m+1} V_n^{m+1} = I_{n+1}^m V_n^m. \quad (8)$$

We have a singularity whenever the V_n^m happens to vanish. The singularity pattern is

$$\{V_n^m, V_{n+1}^m, V_{n+1}^{m-1}, V_{n+2}^{m-1}\} \rightarrow \{0, \infty, \infty, 0\}, \quad (9)$$

$$\{I_{n+1}^m, I_{n+1}^{m-1}, I_{n+2}^m, I_{n+2}^{m-1}\} \rightarrow \{\infty, 0, 0, \infty\}, \quad (10)$$

and the singularity is confined. Let us assume again that the singularity pattern arises from that a τ function τ_n^m vanishes at (m, n) . Then, the expressions for V and I , dictated by the singularity pattern, are

$$V_n^m = \frac{\tau_n^m \tau_{n-2}^{m+1}}{\tau_{n-1}^m \tau_{n-1}^{m+1}}, \quad (11)$$

$$I_n^m = \frac{\tau_{n-1}^{m+1} \tau_{n-2}^m}{\tau_{n-1}^m \tau_{n-2}^{m+1}}. \quad (12)$$

Substituting these into eqs.(7) and (8), we obtain readily

$$\tau_n^{m+1} \tau_n^{m-1} - \tau_{n+1}^{m-1} \tau_{n-1}^{m+1} = \alpha(m) [\tau_n^m]^2. \quad (13)$$

Here, $\alpha(m)$ is an arbitrary function of m . In case of $\alpha(m) = 1$, the above bilinear form is the same as that obtained in Ref.[5].

4 Discrete Hungry Lotka-Volterra Equation

Next, we consider the discrete Hungry Lotka–Volterra equation of the form[6]

$$\frac{u_n^{t+1}}{u_n^t} = \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{1 + \delta u_{n-i}^t}{1 + \delta u_{n+i}^{t+1}}. \quad (14)$$

Examining eq.(14) in the form solved with respect to u_{n+M}^{t+1} , we find the singularity pattern

$$\{u_{n-M-1}^{t-1}, u_{n-1}^{t-1}, u_{n-1}^t, u_n^{t-1}, u_n^t, u_{n+M}^t\} \rightarrow \{0, \infty, -\frac{1}{\delta}, -\frac{1}{\delta}, \infty, 0\}, \quad (15)$$

and the singularity is confined. However, when we assume the singularity pattern arises from vanishing of a τ function, we can't obtain the expression of u in terms of the τ function which satisfies the singularity pattern. So we divide the singularity pattern into two patterns:

$$\{u_{n-M-1}^{t-1}, u_{n-1}^{t-1}, u_n^t, u_{n+M}^t\} \rightarrow \{0, \infty, \infty, 0\}, \quad (16)$$

$$\{u_{n-1}^{t-1}, u_{n-1}^t, u_n^{t-1}, u_n^t\} \rightarrow \{\infty, -\frac{1}{\delta}, -\frac{1}{\delta}, \infty\}. \quad (17)$$

These patterns suggest the transformations

$$u_n^t = \frac{\tau_{n+M+1}^{t+1} \tau_{n-M}^t}{\tau_{n+1}^{t+1} \tau_n^t} \quad (18)$$

$$= a \frac{\tau_{n+1}^t \tau_n^{t+1}}{\tau_{n+1}^{t+1} \tau_n^t} - \frac{1}{\delta}, \quad (a : \text{const}). \quad (19)$$

We thus obtain the bilinear equation of the form

$$\delta\tau_{n+M+1}^{t+1}\tau_{n-M}^t - a\delta\tau_{n+1}^t\tau_n^{t+1} + \tau_{n+1}^{t+1}\tau_n^t = 0, \quad (20)$$

which is the same as that obtained in Ref.[6].

5 Discrete Potential mKdV Equation

Let us next consider the discrete potential mKdV equation of the form[8]

$$v_{n-1}^{m+2} = v_n^m \frac{\mu v_{n-1}^{m+1} - v_n^{m+1}}{\mu v_n^{m+1} - v_{n-1}^{m+1}}. \quad (21)$$

To apply SC, it is convenient to consider

$$I_n^{m+1} = I_{n+1}^{m-1} \frac{\mu - I_{n+1}^m}{\mu I_{n+1}^m - 1} \frac{\mu I_n^m - 1}{\mu - I_n^m}, \quad (22)$$

where I_n^m and v_n^m are related as $I_n^m = v_n^m / v_{n-1}^m$. In this case we have two singularity patterns. Either I_n^m first takes the value $1/\mu$, in which case we have

$$\{I_n^m, I_n^{m+1}, I_{n-1}^{m+1}, I_{n-1}^{m+2}\} \rightarrow \left\{\frac{1}{\mu}, 0, \infty, \mu\right\}, \quad (23)$$

and the singularity is confined, or we start with μ , in which case we have

$$\{I_n^m, I_n^{m+1}, I_{n-1}^{m+1}, I_{n-1}^{m+2}\} \rightarrow \left\{\mu, \infty, 0, \frac{1}{\mu}\right\}, \quad (24)$$

and the singularity is confined. We assume that the singularity patterns (23) and (24) come from vanishing of τ functions F_n^m and G_n^m at $(n, m+1)$. Then it is suggested that I_n^m are in the forms

$$\begin{aligned} I_n^m &= \mu + \frac{F_{n+1}^{m-1}}{F_{n+1}^m} P \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{F_n^{m+1}}{F_{n+1}^m} Q \\ &= \frac{F_n^m}{F_{n+1}^m} R, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_n^m &= \mu + \frac{G_n^{m+1}}{G_n^m} S \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{G_{n+1}^{m-1}}{G_n^m} T \\ &= \frac{G_{n+1}^m}{G_n^m} U, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where P, Q, R must be expressed in terms of G_n^m 's and S, T, U in terms of F_n^m 's. Combining eqs.(25) and (26), we find the following simple expressions for I_n^m

$$I_n^m = \mu + \alpha \frac{F_{n+1}^{m-1} G_n^{m+1}}{F_{n+1}^m G_n^m} \quad (27)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu} + \beta \frac{F_n^{m+1} G_{n+1}^{m-1}}{F_{n+1}^m G_n^m} \quad (28)$$

$$= \frac{F_n^m G_{n+1}^m}{F_{n+1}^m G_n^m}, \quad (29)$$

where α, β are arbitrary constants. The bilinear equations are obtained from the equivalence of the above three expressions of I_n^m .

$$F_n^m G_{n+1}^m - \mu F_{n+1}^m G_n^m = \alpha F_{n+1}^{m-1} G_n^{m+1}, \quad (30)$$

$$\mu F_n^m G_{n+1}^m - F_{n+1}^m G_n^m = \mu \beta F_n^{m+1} G_{n+1}^{m-1}. \quad (31)$$

The equation (22) is, then, satisfied automatically. From the expression (29), we have

$$v_n^m = \frac{G_{n+1}^m}{F_{n+1}^m}. \quad (32)$$

In order for this to satisfy (21), $\beta = -\alpha/\mu$ is required.

6 Discrete mKdV Equation

We finally consider the discrete mKdV equation proposed by Tsujimoto and Hirota[7],

$$\frac{w_k^{l+1}(1 + \delta w_{k+1}^{l+1})}{1 + a w_k^{l+1}} = \frac{w_k^l(1 + \delta w_{k-1}^l)}{1 + a w_k^l}. \quad (33)$$

Bilinearization of eq.(33) is done in a similar way to the previous section. We have two singularity patterns,

either w_k^l takes the value $-\frac{1}{a}$ in which case we have

$$\{w_k^l, w_{k+1}^l, w_{k+1}^{l+1}, w_{k+2}^{l+1}\} \rightarrow \{-\frac{1}{a}, -\frac{1}{\delta}, \infty, 0\}, \quad (34)$$

and the singularity is confined, or we start with 0, in which case we have

$$\{w_k^l, w_{k+1}^l, w_{k+1}^{l+1}, w_{k+2}^{l+1}\} \rightarrow \{0, \infty, -\frac{1}{\delta}, -\frac{1}{a}\}, \quad (35)$$

and the singularity is confined. From these patterns, we obtain the expression for w_k^l ,

$$w_k^l = -\frac{1}{a} + \alpha' \frac{\kappa_k^l \sigma_{k-2}^{l-1}}{\kappa_{k-1}^{l-1} \sigma_{k-1}^l}, \quad (36)$$

$$= \beta' \frac{\kappa_{k-2}^{l-1} \sigma_k^l}{\kappa_{k-1}^{l-1} \sigma_{k-1}^l}, \quad (37)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\delta} + \gamma' \frac{\kappa_{k-1}^l \sigma_{k-1}^{l-1}}{\kappa_{k-1}^{l-1} \sigma_{k-1}^l}, \quad (38)$$

where α' , β' and γ' are arbitrary constants. Then we get the bilinear equations from the equivalence of these expressions,

$$\delta \gamma' \kappa_k^{l+1} \sigma_k^l - \kappa_k^l \sigma_k^{l+1} - \beta' \delta \kappa_{k-1}^l \sigma_{k+1}^{l+1} = 0, \quad (39)$$

$$\delta \gamma' \kappa_k^{l+1} \sigma_k^l - \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{a}\right) \kappa_k^l \sigma_k^{l+1} - \alpha' \delta \kappa_{k+1}^{l+1} \sigma_{k-1}^l = 0. \quad (40)$$

Comparing these equations with eqs.(30) and (31), then we easily find that they are equivalent if we put

$$m = -k, \quad n = l, \quad F = \kappa, \quad G = \sigma, \quad (41)$$

and

$$\gamma' = \frac{1}{\delta \mu} = \frac{1}{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \mu = \frac{1}{\delta \gamma'}, \quad \alpha = -\frac{\delta \alpha'}{1 - \frac{\delta}{a}}, \quad \beta = -\frac{\beta'}{\mu \gamma'} = -\delta \beta'. \quad (42)$$

Finally, we obtain the explicit relationship between discrete potential mKdV equation and discrete mKdV equations. Noticing the relation eqs.(41) and (42), we find from eq.(38) that w and v are related as

$$w_m^n = \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{v_{n-2}^{m+1}}{v_{n-1}^{m+1}} - 1 \right), \quad (43)$$

which can be also verified directly. The point is that it requires “art of discovery” to find the above relationship just by looking at the equation, but now it becomes a “routine work” if we look at the bilinear equations which is obtained through SC.

7 N -soliton Solution of the discrete potential mKdV Equation

Let us construct the N -soliton solution of the discrete potential mKdV equation. Indeed, we can use the perturbative technique to obtain the N -soliton solution, but here we derive it from the reduction from

the discrete KP equation. The bilinear form of discrete KP equation is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_1(a_2 - a_3)\tau(n_1 + 1, n_2, n_3)\tau(n_1, n_2 + 1, n_3 + 1) \\
& + a_2(a_3 - a_1)\tau(n_1, n_2 + 1, n_3)\tau(n_1 + 1, n_2, n_3 + 1) \\
& + a_3(a_1 - a_2)\tau(n_1, n_2, n_3 + 1)\tau(n_1 + 1, n_2 + 1, n_3) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where τ depends on three discrete independent variables n_1, n_2 and n_3 , and a_1, a_2 and a_3 are the difference intervals for n_1, n_2 and n_3 , respectively [9]. The Casorati determinant solution to eq.(44) is given by[11]

$$\tau(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \begin{vmatrix} f_1(n_1, n_2, n_3; s) & f_1(n_1, n_2, n_3; s + 1) & \cdots & f_1(n_1, n_2, n_3; s + N - 1) \\ f_2(n_1, n_2, n_3; s) & f_2(n_1, n_2, n_3; s + 1) & \cdots & f_2(n_1, n_2, n_3; s + N - 1) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ f_N(n_1, n_2, n_3; s) & f_N(n_1, n_2, n_3; s + 1) & \cdots & f_N(n_1, n_2, n_3; s + N - 1) \end{vmatrix}, \tag{45}$$

where f_j 's are arbitrary functions of n_1, n_2 and n_3 which satisfy the dispersion relations

$$\Delta_{n_k} f_j(n_1, n_2, n_3; s) = f_j(n_1, n_2, n_3; s + 1) \quad (k = 1, 2, 3). \tag{46}$$

Here Δ_{n_k} are the backward difference operators:

$$\Delta_{n_k} f(n_k) \equiv \frac{f(n_k) - f(n_k - 1)}{a_k} \quad (k = 1, 2, 3). \tag{47}$$

For example, we can take f_j as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_j(n_1, n_2, n_3; s) &= p_j^s (1 - p_j a_1)^{-n_1} (1 - p_j a_2)^{-n_2} (1 - p_j a_3)^{-n_3} \\
&+ q_j^s (1 - q_j a_1)^{-n_1} (1 - q_j a_2)^{-n_2} (1 - q_j a_3)^{-n_3},
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where p_j, q_j are arbitrary constants, then it will give the N -soliton solution.

Now we assume that there exist a non-zero constant Φ such that for arbitrary n_1, n_2, n_3 [10]

$$\tau(n_1, n_2, n_3 - 2) = \Phi \tau(n_1, n_2, n_3). \tag{49}$$

Then defining as $F(n_1, n_2) = \tau(n_1, n_2, n_3)$, $G(n_1, n_2) = \tau(n_1, n_2, n_3 + 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_1(a_2 - a_3)F(n_1 + 1, n_2)G(n_1, n_2 + 1) \\
& + a_2(a_3 - a_1)F(n_1, n_2 + 1)G(n_1 + 1, n_2) \\
& + a_3(a_1 - a_2)F(n_1 + 1, n_2 + 1)G(n_1, n_2) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

and by shifting $n_3 \rightarrow n_3 + 1$ in eq.(44) and using eq.(49)

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_1(a_2 - a_3)G(n_1 + 1, n_2)F(n_1, n_2 + 1) \\
& + a_2(a_3 - a_1)G(n_1, n_2 + 1)F(n_1 + 1, n_2) \\
& + a_3(a_1 - a_2)G(n_1 + 1, n_2 + 1)F(n_1, n_2) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

After shifting $n_1 \rightarrow n_1 - 1$, eqs.(50) and (51) are transformed into the following equation

$$a_1(a_2 - a_3)F_n^m G_{n+1}^m + a_2(a_3 - a_1)F_{n+1}^m G_n^m = a_3(a_2 - a_1)F_{n+1}^{m-1} G_n^{m+1}, \tag{52}$$

$$a_2(a_3 - a_1)F_n^m G_{n+1}^m + a_1(a_2 - a_3)F_{n+1}^m G_n^m = a_3(a_2 - a_1)F_n^{m+1} G_{n+1}^{m-1}, \tag{53}$$

through the variable transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
m &= -n_1 - n_2, \\
n &= n_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where $F(n_1, n_2)$, $G(n_1, n_2)$ are defined by

$$F(n_1, n_2) \equiv F_n^m = F_{n_2}^{-n_1-n_2}, G(n_1, n_2) \equiv G_n^m = G_{n_2}^{-n_1-n_2}. \tag{55}$$

Moreover if we must choose as

$$\mu = -\frac{a_2(a_3 - a_1)}{a_1(a_2 - a_3)}, \alpha = \frac{a_3(a_2 - a_1)}{a_1(a_2 - a_3)}, \beta = \frac{a_3(a_2 - a_1)}{a_2(a_3 - a_1)}, \tag{56}$$

eqs.(30) and (31) reduce to eqs.(52) and (53).

Now we impose some constraint on the parameters of the solution so that the condition (49) is satisfied.

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_j(n_1, n_2, n_3 - 2; s) \\
& = p_j^s (1 - p_j a_1)^{-n_1} (1 - p_j a_2)^{-n_2} (1 - p_j a_3)^{-n_3+2} + q_j^s (1 - q_j a_1)^{-n_1} (1 - q_j a_2)^{-n_2} (1 - q_j a_3)^{-n_3+2} \\
& = p_j^s (1 - p_j a_1)^{-n_1} (1 - p_j a_2)^{-n_2} (1 - p_j a_3)^{-n_3+2} \\
& \times \left[1 + \left(\frac{q_j}{p_j} \right)^s \left(\frac{1 - q_j a_1}{1 - p_j a_1} \right)^{-n_1} \left(\frac{1 - q_j a_2}{1 - p_j a_2} \right)^{-n_2} \left(\frac{1 - q_j a_3}{1 - p_j a_3} \right)^{-n_3} \left(\frac{1 - q_j a_3}{1 - p_j a_3} \right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Thus, if we put

$$1 - a_3 p_j = -(1 - a_3 q_j), \tag{58}$$

or

$$q_j = \frac{2}{a_3} - p_j, \quad (59)$$

then we have

$$f_j(n_1, n_2, n_3 - 2; s) = (1 - p_j a_3)^2 f_j(n_1, n_2, n_3; s). \quad (60)$$

Using this relation we readily find the following relation:

$$\tau(n_1, n_2, n_3 - 2) = \prod_{k=1}^N (1 - p_k a_3)^2 \tau(n_1, n_2, n_3), \quad (61)$$

that is, the condition (49) is satisfied for this solution.

Thus through the variable transformation (54) and (55), the N -soliton solution of discrete potential mKdV equation (21) is given by

$$v_n^m = \frac{G_{n+1}^m}{F_{n+1}^m}, \quad (62)$$

$$F_n^m = \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(m, n; s) & \phi_1(m, n; s+1) & \cdots & \phi_1(m, n; s+N-1) \\ \phi_2(m, n; s) & \phi_2(m, n; s+1) & \cdots & \phi_2(m, n; s+N-1) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \phi_N(m, n; s) & \phi_N(m, n; s+1) & \cdots & \phi_N(m, n; s+N-1) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (63)$$

$$G_n^m = \begin{vmatrix} \psi_1(m, n; s) & \psi_1(m, n; s+1) & \cdots & \psi_1(m, n; s+N-1) \\ \psi_2(m, n; s) & \psi_2(m, n; s+1) & \cdots & \psi_2(m, n; s+N-1) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \psi_N(m, n; s) & \psi_N(m, n; s+1) & \cdots & \psi_N(m, n; s+N-1) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (64)$$

$$\phi_j(m, n; s) = p_j^s (1 - p_j a_1)^{m+n} (1 - p_j a_2)^{-n} + q_j^s (1 - q_j a_1)^{m+n} (1 - q_j a_2)^{-n}, \quad (65)$$

$$\psi_j(n_1, n_2; s) = p_j^s (1 - p_j a_1)^{m+n} (1 - p_j a_2)^{-n} - q_j^s (1 - q_j a_1)^{m+n} (1 - q_j a_2)^{-n}, \quad (66)$$

$$q_j = \frac{2}{a_3} - p_j. \quad (67)$$

For example, the 1-soliton solution is given by

$$v_n^m = \frac{p_1^s (1 - p_1 a_1)^{m+n+1} (1 - p_1 a_2)^{-n-1} - q_1^s (1 - q_1 a_1)^{m+n+1} (1 - q_1 a_2)^{-n-1}}{p_1^s (1 - p_1 a_1)^{m+n+1} (1 - p_1 a_2)^{-n-1} + q_1^s (1 - q_1 a_1)^{m+n+1} (1 - q_1 a_2)^{-n-1}}. \quad (68)$$

8 Algebraic Solution of the Potential mKdV Equation

Let us construct the algebraic solution of the discrete potential mKdV equation through the reduction of the discrete KP equation.

First notice that

$$\begin{aligned} f_j(n_1, n_2, n_3; s) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} p_j^s (1 - p_j a_1)^{-n_1} (1 - p_j a_2)^{-n_2} (1 - p_j a_3)^{-n_3}, \\ &= \left(\frac{s}{p_j} + \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{n_k a_k}{1 - p_j a_k} \right) p_j^s (1 - p_j a_1)^{-n_1} (1 - p_j a_2)^{-n_2} (1 - p_j a_3)^{-n_3}, \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

satisfies the dispersion relation (46), and hence this also give the solution of the discrete KP equation (44). Moreover, if we notice that the discrete KP equation (44) is invariant under multiplication of $c_1 q_1^{n_1} c_2 q_2^{n_2} c_3 q_3^{n_3}$ on τ function, where c_j and q_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$ are arbitrary constants, we find that it gives the algebraic solution of the discrete KP equation. More generally, f_j can be chosen as

$$f_j(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \frac{\partial^{k_j}}{\partial p_j^{k_j}} p_j^s (1 - p_j a_1)^{-n_1} (1 - p_j a_2)^{-n_2} (1 - p_j a_3)^{-n_3}, \quad (70)$$

where k_j is an arbitrary integer. In particular, we define the polynomials P_k by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(n_1, n_2, n_3) \lambda^k = (1 - \lambda a_1)^{-n_1} (1 - \lambda a_2)^{-n_2} (1 - \lambda a_3)^{-n_3} \quad (P_k = 0, \quad \text{for } k < 0). \quad (71)$$

The simplest examples of P_k are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= 1, \\ P_1 &= a_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2 + a_3 n_3, \\ P_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (a_1 n_1 + a_2 n_2 + a_3 n_3)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (a_1^2 n_1 + a_2^2 n_2 + a_3^2 n_3), \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

Then, the τ function

$$\tau_N(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \begin{vmatrix} P_{i_1}(n_1, n_2, n_3) & P_{i_1+1}(n_1, n_2, n_3) & \cdots & P_{i_1+N-1}(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ P_{i_2-1}(n_1, n_2, n_3) & P_{i_2}(n_1, n_2, n_3) & \cdots & P_{i_2+N-2}(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ P_{i_N-N+1}(n_1, n_2, n_3) & P_{i_N-N+2}(n_1, n_2, n_3) & \cdots & P_{i_N}(n_1, n_2, n_3) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (72)$$

where $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots i_N > 0$ are integers, is nothing but the Schur function solution of eq. (44) labeled by the Young diagram $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N)$.

In order to satisfy the condition (49), let us consider the τ function,

$$\tau_N(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \begin{vmatrix} P_N(n_1, n_2, n_3) & P_{N+1}(n_1, n_2, n_3) & \cdots & P_{2N-1}(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ P_{N-2}(n_1, n_2, n_3) & P_{N-1}(n_1, n_2, n_3) & \cdots & P_{2N-3}(n_1, n_2, n_3) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ P_{-N+2}(n_1, n_2, n_3) & P_{-N+3}(n_1, n_2, n_3) & \cdots & P_1(n_1, n_2, n_3) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (73)$$

and impose a condition,

$$P_k(n_1, n_2, n_3 - 2) = P_k(n_1, n_2, n_3) - c^2 P_{k-2}(n_1, n_2, n_3), \quad (74)$$

where c is some constant. Then it is easily verified that the τ function satisfy the condition (49).

For simplicity, we introduce a function,

$$\theta(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (1 - \lambda a_1)^{-n_1} (1 - \lambda a_2)^{-n_2} (1 - \lambda a_3)^{-n_3}, \quad (75)$$

which is a generating function of the polynomials P_k . Then eq.(74) implies that

$$\theta(n_1, n_2, n_3 - 2) = \theta(n_1, n_2, n_3) - c^2 \lambda^2 \theta(n_1, n_2, n_3), \quad (76)$$

from which we have

$$1 - \lambda a_3 = \pm (1 - c^2 \lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (77)$$

Defining as $F(n_1, n_2) = \tau_N(n_1, n_2, n_3)$, $G(n_1, n_2) = \tau_N(n_1, n_2, n_3 + 1)$ we have bilinear forms (50),(51) of discrete potential mKdV equation. Thus through the variable transformation (54), (55) the algebraic solution of discrete potential mKdV equation (21) is given by

$$v_n^m = \frac{G_{n+1}^m}{F_{n+1}^m}, \quad (78)$$

$$F_n^m = \begin{vmatrix} P_N(m, n; n_3) & P_{N+1}(m, n; n_3) & \cdots & P_{2N-1}(m, n; n_3) \\ P_{N-2}(m, n; n_3) & P_{N-1}(m, n; n_3) & \cdots & P_{2N-3}(m, n; n_3) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ P_{-N+2}(m, n; n_3) & P_{-N+1}(m, n; n_3) & \cdots & P_1(m, n; n_3) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (79)$$

$$G_n^m = \left| \begin{array}{cccc} P_N(m, n; n_3 + 1) & P_{N+1}(m, n; n_3 + 1) & \cdots & P_{2N-1}(m, n; n_3 + 1) \\ P_{N-2}(m, n; n_3 + 1) & P_{N-1}(m, n; n_3 + 1) & \cdots & P_{2N-3}(m, n; n_3 + 1) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ P_{-N+2}(m, n; n_3 + 1) & P_{-N+1}(m, n; n_3 + 1) & \cdots & P_1(m, n; n_3 + 1) \end{array} \right|, \quad (80)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(m, n; n_3) \lambda^k = (1 - \lambda a_1)^{m+n} (1 - \lambda a_2)^{-n} (1 - \lambda^2 c^2)^{-\frac{n_3}{2}}. \quad (81)$$

Concretely,

$$P_0(m, n; n_3) = 1,$$

$$P_1(m, n; n_3) = a_1 m + (a_1 - a_2) n,$$

$$P_2(m, n; n_3) = \frac{1}{2} a_1^2 m(m-1) + a_1(a_1 - a_2) m n + \frac{1}{2} (a_1 - a_2)^2 n^2 - \frac{1}{2} (a_1 + a_2)(a_1 - a_2) n - \frac{1}{2} c^2 n_3,$$

...

where n_3 is an arbitrary constant.

9 Conclusion

In this article, we have derived dependent variable transformations for discrete soliton equations to reduce them to bilinear forms by using singularity confinement. We have also constructed the N -soliton solution of discrete mKdV equation. We expect that this method based on SC is effective for study on other discrete nonlinear equations.

One of the authors (K.K) was supported by the Grant-in-aid for Encouragement of Young Scientist, The Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, No.08750090.

References

- [1] R. Hirota: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **43** (1977) 1424.
- [2] R. Hirota: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **43** (1977) 2074.

- [3] A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos and J. Satsuma: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **28** (1995) 4655.
- [4] B. Grammaticos, A. Ramani and V. G. Papageorgiou: Phys.Rev.Lett. **67** (1991) 1825.
- [5] R. Hirota and S. Tsujimoto: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **64** (1995) 3125.
- [6] R. Hirota and S. Tsujimoto: RIMS Kokyuroku **868** (1994) 31.
- [7] S. Tsujimoto and R.Hirota: RIMS Kokyuroku **933** (1995) 105.
- [8] F. W. Nijhoff and V. G. Papageorgiou: Phys. Lett. A **153** (1991) 337.
- [9] T. Miwa: Proc. Jpn. Acad. A **58** (1982) 9.
- [10] A. Ramani, B. Grammaticos and J. Satsuma: Phys. Lett. A **169** (1992) 323.
- [11] Y. Ohta, R. Hirota, S.Tsujimoto and T. Imai: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., **62** (1993) 1872.